

ACTIVE SET METHODS

References:

J. Nocedal and S.J. Wright, “*Numerical Optimization*,” 2006. Chapter 16

M.S. Bazaraa, H.D. Sherali, C.M. Shetty, “*Nonlinear Programming - Theory and Algorithms*,” 2006

LINEAR PROGRAM IN STANDARD FORM

- Consider the **linear program** in **standard form**

$$\begin{array}{ll}\min & c'x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0\end{array}$$

- Assumption: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ has full row rank (this implies $n \geq m$)
- Remember that:
 - inequality constraints $Ax \leq b$ can be transformed into $Ax + z = b, z \geq 0$ ($z =$ **slack variable**)
 - variables without sign restriction can be split into their **positive** and **negative part** $x = x^+ - x^-$, with $x^+, x^- \geq 0$

- A subset $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of exactly m elements is a **basis** and a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **basic feasible point** (a.k.a. **basic feasible solution**) if
 - $x \geq 0$
 - $x_i = 0$ for all $i \notin \mathcal{B}$
 - the **basis matrix** $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ obtained by collecting the columns A_i of A indexed by $i \in \mathcal{B}$ is nonsingular

THEOREM

- *If the LP is feasible then there exists at least one basic feasible point*
- *If the LP admits optimal solutions then at least one basic feasible point is optimal*
- *If the LP is feasible and bounded then it has a basic feasible optimal solution*

THEOREM

The basic feasible points are the vertices of the polyhedron $\{x : Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$.

DEFINITION

A basis \mathcal{B} is **degenerate** if $x_i = 0$ for some $i \in \mathcal{B}$. An LP is degenerate if it has at least one degenerate basis

- The **simplex method** determines the solution of a solvable LP problem in a finite number of iterations, iterating from a vertex of the feasible set (basic feasible) point to an adjacent one

- The KKT conditions of optimality for the LP we considered are

$$c + A'\nu - s = 0$$

$$Ax = b$$

$$x, s \geq 0$$

$$x_i s_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, n$$

- Given a basis \mathcal{B} and the corresponding basic matrix B , let $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ and N the corresponding matrix of columns A_i indexed by $i \in \mathcal{N}$
- Let x_B be the subvector of x indexed by \mathcal{B} and x_N the subvector indexed by \mathcal{N} , and similarly s_B, s_N, c_B, c_N

REVISED SIMPLEX METHOD

- Start from a basic point x , that is $x_N = 0$
- From $Ax = b$ we get $x_B = B^{-1}b$ (this requires solving $Bx_b = b$, e.g., by LU factorization)
- To satisfy complementarity slackness, set $s_B = 0$
- Partition the KKT condition $A'\nu - s = -c$ into

$$\begin{aligned} B'\nu &= -c_B \\ N'\nu - s_N &= -c_N \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore $\nu = -B^{-T}c_B$ and $s_N = c_N - (B^{-1}N)'c_B$ (=reduced costs)
- The only missing KKT condition to satisfy is $s_N \geq 0$

REVISED SIMPLEX METHOD

- If $s_N \geq 0$ we have found an optimal solution x . Stop
- Otherwise, we execute a **pivoting** procedure:
 - select an index $q \in N$ such that $s_q < 0$ and make index q enter the basis \mathcal{B}
 - increase x_q from 0 while keeping $Ax = b$ satisfied, until another component $x_p = 0, p \in \mathcal{B}$:

$$A_q x_q + B(x_B + \Delta x_B) = b \text{ and } x_B + \Delta x_B \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta x_B = \underbrace{B^{-1}b - x_B}_{Bx_B = b} - B^{-1}A_q x_q = -B^{-1}A_q x_q \geq -x_B$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{[B^{-1}A_q]_j}_{d} x_q \leq [x_B]_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, m$$

- the index $p = \arg \min_j \left\{ \frac{[x_B]_j}{d_j} \mid d_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m \right\}$ leaves \mathcal{B}
- One can prove that $c'x$ is strictly decreasing if \mathcal{B} is nondegenerate
- If the LP is nondegenerate, since the number of possible basis \mathcal{B} is finite the procedure terminates after a finite number of pivoting steps

REVISED SIMPLEX METHOD

- **Initialization**: a basic feasible point is obtained by solving a modified LP, for which a starting basic feasible point is obvious (this is called **phase-1 LP**)
- **degenerate steps** may be encountered in which x_q remains 0 (only \mathcal{B} changes). In this case $c'x$ remains constant
- **cycling** may occur if the same basis \mathcal{B} is encountered again. To prevent this, **anti-cycling strategies** are usually included in the LP solver
- The **dual simplex method** is similar to the revised simplex method. It keeps s feasible rather than x feasible during the iterations

SIMPLEX METHOD FOR LP

- Good LP solvers include a **presolver**, that attempts eliminating variables/constraints to accelerate the subsequent LP solution algorithm
- (Rare) pathological counterexamples exist in which the simplex method visits 2^n vertices, showing that its non-polynomial convergence (Klee, Minty, 1972)
- In practice, usually simplex methods converge in at most $2m$ to $3m$ iterations
- The simplex method is the ancestor of **active set methods** for solving nonlinear programs, such as QP and problems with bound constraints

- Active-set method to solve the NNLS problem

$$\min_{x \geq 0} \|Ax - b\|_2^2, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

1. $\mathcal{P} \leftarrow \emptyset, x \leftarrow 0$;
2. $w \leftarrow A'(Ax - b)$;
3. **if** $w \geq 0$ **or** $\mathcal{P} = \{1, \dots, m\}$ **then go to** Step 10;
4. $i \leftarrow \arg \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \mathcal{P}} w_i, \mathcal{P} \leftarrow \mathcal{P} \cup \{i\}$;
5. $y_{\mathcal{P}} \leftarrow \arg \min_{x_{\mathcal{P}}} \|((A')_{\mathcal{P}})'x_{\mathcal{P}} - b\|_2^2$,
 $y_{\{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \mathcal{P}} \leftarrow 0$;
6. **if** $y_{\mathcal{P}} \geq 0$ **then** $x \leftarrow y$ **and go to** Step 2;
7. $j \leftarrow \arg \min_{h \in \mathcal{P}: y_h \leq 0} \left\{ \frac{x_h}{x_h - y_h} \right\}$;
8. $x \leftarrow x + \frac{x_j}{x_j - y_j} (y - x)$;
9. $\mathcal{I} \leftarrow \{h \in \mathcal{P} : z_h = 0\}, \mathcal{P} \leftarrow \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{I}$; **go to** Step 5;
10. **end.**

The algorithm maintains the primal vector x feasible and keeps switching the active set until the dual variable w is also feasible.

The key step 5 requires solving an unconstrained LS problem. An LDL', Cholesky, or QR factorization of $(A')_{\mathcal{P}}$ can be computed recursively

very simple to solve (750 chars in Embedded MATLAB)

NONNEGATIVE LEAST SQUARES - EXAMPLES

- Solving a **least distance problem** (LDP): (Lawson, Hanson, 1974)

$$x^* = \arg \min_{\text{s.t. } Ax \leq b} \|x\|_2^2 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y^* = \arg \min_{\text{s.t. } y \geq 0} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A' \\ b' \end{bmatrix} y + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \\ x^* = -\frac{A'y^*}{1+b'y^*} \end{cases}$$

- Solving a **quadratic program** (QP) with $Q \succ 0$: (Bemporad, 2016)

$$x^* = \arg \min_{\text{s.t. } Ax \leq b} \frac{1}{2}x'Qx + c'x \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} y^* = \arg \min_{\text{s.t. } y \geq 0} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} M' \\ d' \end{bmatrix} y + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \\ x^* = -\frac{M'y^*}{1+d'y^*} \end{cases}$$

where $M = AC^{-T}$, $CC' = Q$ (Cholesky factorization), $d = b + AQ^{-1}c$

- The LDP/QP is infeasible if and only the residual of the corresponding NNLS is zero (by Farkas' lemma)

- Consider the **partially nonnegative least squares** (PNLS) problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x,u} & \|Ax + Bu - c\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & x \geq 0, u \text{ free} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p} \end{array}$$

- Let $B^\#$ be the **pseudoinverse** of B . In case B has full column rank then $B^\# = (B'B)^{-1}B'$
- The PNLS problem can be solved as the NLS problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \|\bar{A}x - \bar{b}\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

where $\bar{A} = (I - BB^\#)A, \bar{b} = (I - BB^\#)c$

- Computing a feasible point in a polyhedron: A polyhedron $P = \{x : Ax \leq b\}$ is nonempty if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \min_x \|Ax + y - b\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t. } &y \geq 0, x \text{ free} \end{aligned}$$

- Solving an LP: The following two problems are equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad &c'x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &Ax \leq b \end{aligned} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} \min_x \quad &\left\| \begin{bmatrix} b' & 0 \\ 0 & I \\ A' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ s \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c' \\ A \end{bmatrix} x - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \\ -c \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &y, s \geq 0, x \text{ free} \end{aligned}$$

which follows from the optimality conditions $A'y + c = 0$, $Ax + s = b$, and $y'(Ax - b) = 0$, where the latter is equivalent to zero duality gap $c'x = -b'y$

ACTIVE SET METHODS FOR QP

- **Active set methods** for QP are usually the best on small problems because:
 - they provide excellent quality solutions within few iterations
 - are less sensitive to preconditioning (= their behavior is more predictable)
 - they do not require advanced linear algebra libraries

although they may be less robust than other methods in single precision arithmetic (due to divisions)

- Different active set methods for QP exist. They all work similar to the simplex method, switching the set of active constraints $A_i x = b_i$ until all the KKT conditions are satisfied (Wolfe, 1959) (Lemke, 1962) (Dantzig, 1963) (Fletcher, 1971)
- Most of these methods are equivalent, i.e., visit the same sequence of active sets, although with different linear algebra (Pang, 1983) (Best, 1984)

- We want to solve the following general strictly convex QP

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \frac{1}{2}x'Qx + c'x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_i x \leq b_i, \quad i \in I \\ & A_i x = b_i, \quad i \in E \end{aligned}$$

where $I \cup E = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $Q = Q' \succ 0, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

- Assume a feasible starting point x_0 is available (e.g., by solving a phase-1 LP)
- At iteration k , given a feasible x_k , let $I_k = \{i \in I : A_i x_k = b_i\}$, $W_k = I_k \cup E$ be the active set and consider the equality-constrained QP

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \frac{1}{2}x'Qx + c'x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_i x = b_i, \quad i \in W_k \end{aligned}$$

- By shifting the coordinates to $d = x - x_k$ the equality-constrained QP becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d_k = \arg \min & \quad \frac{1}{2} d' Q d + (Q x_k + c)' d \\ \text{s.t.} & \quad A_i d = 0, i \in W_k \end{aligned} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} Q & A'_{W_k} \\ A_{W_k} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_k \\ v_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -Q x_k - c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

providing the best shift from x_k within the null-space of the submatrix A_{W_k}

- If $d_k = 0$:
 - if $v_k \geq 0$ then x_k is the optimal solution, v_k the optimal dual variables corresponding to the active constraints
 - Otherwise, let $q \in W_k$ such that $(v_k)_q$ is the most negative component of v_k and update $I_{k+1} = I_k \setminus \{q\}$, $W_{k+1} = I_{k+1} \cup E$, $x_{k+1} = x_k$

- If $d_k \neq 0$:
 - if $A_i(x_k + d_k) \leq b_i$ for all $i \notin W_k$, set $x_{k+1} = x_k + d_k$, $W_{k+1} = W_k$
 - otherwise choose the maximum step length $\alpha_k < 1$ that maintains feasibility

$$\alpha_k = \min_{i \notin I_k: A_i d_k > 0} \left\{ \frac{b_i - A_i x_k}{A_i d_k} \right\} = \frac{b_q - A_q x_k}{A_q d_k}$$

and set $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$, $I_{k+1} = I_k \cup \{q\}$, $W_{k+1} = I_{k+1} \cup E$

- Since at each iteration the objective function is non-increasing, the algorithm terminates in a finite number k of steps
- For more efficiency a factorization of $\begin{bmatrix} Q & A'_{W_k} \\ A_{W_k} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ can be updated recursively
- The above active-set method maintains feasibility of x_k during the iterations. Other (often more effective) methods maintain the dual vector v_k feasible and stop when the corresponding primal solution x_k is feasible

- Active set methods only add or remove one constraint at each iteration, which makes them slow for QPs with many constraints/variables
- **Block principal pivoting** methods perform instead simultaneous changes in the working-set in one iteration
- Kunisch and Rendl's (KR) method is an infeasible primal-dual method to solve box-constrained QP quite efficiently

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \frac{1}{2}x'Qx + c'x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \ell \leq x \leq u \end{aligned}$$

BLOCK PIVOTING METHODS - KR ALGORITHM

- The algorithm iteratively mass-updates the sets $L, U \subseteq N, N = \{1, \dots, n\}$ of active lower and upper bounds, starting from an arbitrary initial guess L, U :

- $A \leftarrow L \cup U, I \leftarrow N \setminus A$
- $\begin{bmatrix} z_L \\ z_U \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} \ell_L \\ u_U \end{bmatrix}, z_I \leftarrow -Q_{II}^{-1}(c_I + Q_{IA}z_A)$ solve unconstrained QP
 $\lambda_I \leftarrow 0, \lambda_A \leftarrow -c_A - Q_{AN}z$ get λ from KKT
- $L \leftarrow \{i \in N : z_i < \ell_i \text{ or } (\lambda_i < 0 \text{ and } i \in L)\}$ update active set
 $U \leftarrow \{i \in N : z_i > u_i \text{ or } (\lambda_i > 0 \text{ and } i \in U)\}$
- if $(L \cup U) = \emptyset$ return $z^* \leftarrow z$, else go to 1

- Very simple** to implement and **fast** (convergence usually in ≤ 12 steps)
- Convergence is guaranteed only under **restrictive assumptions**. Variants with less restrictive conditions (but slower to execute) exist (Hungerl and er, Rendl, 2015)
- For given *parametric* QP ($c = F\theta + f, \ell = W\theta + w, u = S\theta + s, Q$ fixed) one can **exactly** map the number of iterations KR takes to converge (or cycle) as a function of the parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ (Cimini, Bemporad, 2019)