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## Outline

1. Dynamics and control $\Leftrightarrow$ computer science world view
2. Simulation languages $\Leftrightarrow$ verification formalisms
3. The Chi language
4. Phenomena in hybrid simulation

## Dynamics and control world view

- Predominantly continuous-time system
- Modeled by means of DAEs (differential algebraic equations), or by means of a set of trajectories
- Hybrid phenomena modeled by means of discontinuous functions and/or switched equations, possibly using extended solution concepts (Filippov, Utkin) leading to sliding modes
- Evolution of a hybrid system: for each variable possibly discontinuous function of time
- Examples: piecewise affine (PWA) systems, mixed logic dynamical (MLD) systems or linear complementarity (LC) systems

$$
(i=0 \wedge v \leq 0) \vee(v=0 \wedge i \geq 0)
$$

DAE model of a diode

## Computer science world view

- Predominantly discrete-event system
- Modeled by means of (timed/hybrid) automaton, process algebra, Petri net, data flow languages, etc.
- Evolution of a hybrid system: sequence of time transitions and action transitions
- Time transitions: for each variable continuous function of time
- Discontinuities are represented by actions


Automaton model of a diode

## DAE model of diode-switch network

Switching between

- voltage source of -1 (diode blocks)
- current source of +1 (diode conducts)


Generalized differential algebraic equation models use predicates as "equations":

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\neg s \Longrightarrow v=-1) \wedge(s \Longrightarrow i=1) \\
(i=0 \wedge v \leq 0) \vee(v=0 \wedge i \geq 0) \\
s= \begin{cases}\text { true } & \text { for } 0 \leq t<2 \\
\text { false } & \text { for } t \geq 2\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Algebraic variables

Reduced DAE model of diode-switch network:

$$
\begin{cases}s \wedge v=0 \wedge i=1 & \text { for } 0 \leq t<2 \\ \neg s \wedge v=-1 \wedge i=0 & \text { for } t \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Values of $v$ and $i$ change discontinuously at time point 2. Therefore they are algebraic variables.

Algebraic variables:

- Any function of time, possibly discontinuous
- No memory
- No derivative


## Continuous variables

Continuous variables:

- Continuous function of time
- Memory
- Derivative may be used

Examples

- Mass $m$, force $F$, velocity $v$ : $F=m \dot{v}$, continuous variable $v$
- Tank with volume $V$, inflow $Q_{i}$, outflow $Q_{o}$ : $\dot{V}=Q_{i}-Q_{o}$, continuous variable $V$
- Capacitor $C$, voltage $v$, current $i$ :
$i=C \dot{v}$, continuous variable $v$


## DAE model of diode-switch-capacitor network

$(\neg s \Longrightarrow v=-1) \wedge(s \Longrightarrow i=1-C \dot{v})$
$(i=0 \wedge v \leq 0) \vee(v=0 \wedge i \geq 0)$
$s= \begin{cases}\text { false } & \text { for } 0 \leq t<2 \\ \text { true } & \text { for } t \geq 2\end{cases}$


Equivalent specification:

$$
\begin{cases}s \wedge((i=0 \wedge \dot{v}=1 / \mathrm{C} \wedge v \leq 0) \vee(v=0 \wedge i=1)) & \text { for } 0 \leq t<2 \\ \neg s \wedge v=-1 \wedge i=0 & \text { for } t \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Problem: Now $v$ must be a continuous variable, with derivative, and continuous behavior $\Longrightarrow$ value of $v$ cannot change discontinuously to -1 when switch opens!

## Automaton model of diode-switch network (1)

- All variables are continuous
- Mode switching: assume that value of a variable can change arbitrarily to satisfy invariant of next mode $\Longrightarrow$ automaton way of realizing behavior analogous to algebraic variable



## Interleaving parallel composition



## Simplified automaton



## Synchronizing on common labels

Change diode model labels such that they synchronize with switch model labels


## Automaton model of diode-switch network (2)

Voltage source $E$ is positive or negative


## Synchronizing on common labels (2)



## Conclusions automaton model of diode-switch network

- Automaton model of diode not a compositional way of modeling:
Model of diode needs to know action names of automata models of switches.
- Automata mode switching only in case of actions.
- Incorrect behavior in case of time-dependent varying voltage of current source: no switching of mode of automaton!



## Combining the DC and CS world views (1)

Combine the differential algebraic equations from the dynamics and control world view with automata

- Algebraic variables
- Continuous variables
- Hybrid phenomena may be modeled by means of discontinuous functions and/or switched equations, possibly using extended solution concepts (Filippov, Utkin) leading to sliding modes
- By means of actions, automata can change from one mode to another
- In each mode, variables can behave according to discontinuous functions of time


## DC + CS model of diode-switch-capacitor network



$$
(i=0 \wedge v \leq 0) \vee(v=0 \wedge i \geq 0)
$$

More general model: instead of assignment $v:=-1$ on automaton edge, specify that the value of $v$ may change arbitrarily.
E.g. $t \geq 2 \rightarrow\{v\}$ : true (see last sheets on instantaneous equations).

## Algebraic and continuous variables in simulation languages

- Distinction between algebraic and continuous variables only implicit.
- No derivative $\Longrightarrow$ algebraic
- Derivative $\Longrightarrow$ continuous

Consider:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x<0 \Longrightarrow \dot{x}=1 \\
& x \geq 0 \Longrightarrow x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Is $x$ continuous, or switching between continuous and algebraic? In many languages such models are not allowed. Use discontinuous right hand sides instead:

$$
\dot{x}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x<0 \\ 0 & \text { if } x \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

## Simulation languages

- Ease of modeling $\Longrightarrow$ complex languages
- Verification not an issue, no formal semantics: (no verification)
- Languages specialize either in the discrete-event (DE) domain or in the continuous-time (CT) domain
- Hybrid languages usually $\mathrm{DE}^{+}$(E.g. Siman, Simple++) or $C T^{+}$(E.g. Simulink, Modelica, EcosimPro)


## Verification formalisms

- Ease of formal analysis $\Longrightarrow$ small languages with formal semantics
- Ease of modeling not an issue: cumbersome for modeling and simulation
- Examples for hybrid systems: PHAVer, HyTech; for timed systems: PROMELA, UPPAAL.


## Overview of the Chi language (1)

- Suited to:
- simulation
- verification
- code generation
- Integrates:
- discrete-event modeling (CS world view: automata, process algebra)
- continuous-time modeling, (DC world view: switched differential algebraic equations)
- discrete-time modeling (DC world view: sampled systems)
- Formal compositional semantics
- Consistent equation semantics of Chi ensures that equations are always consistent, comparable to invariants of hybrid automata


## Overview of the Chi language (2)

- Is a process algebra defined by means of:
- atomic statements, e.g. assignment ( $x:=2$ ), DAE $(\dot{x}=-x+1)$
- an orthogonal set of operators, e.g. sequential comp. (;) and parallel comp. (\|)
that can be freely combined.
- Core language small. Ease of use due to many syntactical extensions (all formally defined).
- Modular and hierarchical and scalable by means of process definition and process instantiation (reuse).
- Stochastic: definition of distributions and sampling.


## The Chi language definition (1)

A Chi model is of the following form:

```
model M(parameter declarations) =
| [ channel and variable declarations
::p
]|
```

where $p$ represents a process term (statement)

## The Chi language definition (2)



## The Chi language definition (3)

Invariant inv $u$ :

- Differential equation: $r d e_{1}=r d e_{2}$ $r d e_{1}$ and $r d e_{2}$ are real-valued expressions on variables and dotted variables

$$
\text { E.g. } \dot{x}=-x+y
$$

- Other predicates

$$
\text { E.g. } x \geq 0, y=2 x+2 \text {, true, false }
$$

## Controlled tank system (1)



model ControlledTank()=
| [ var n : nat $=0$, cont V : real = 10, alg Qi,Qo: real
:: inv dot V = Qi - Qo
, $\quad \mathrm{Qi}=\mathrm{n} * 5$
, $\quad$ Qo $=\operatorname{sqrt}(V)$
|| *( V <= 2 -> $\mathrm{n}:=1 ; \mathrm{V}>=10->\mathrm{n}:=0$ )
] 1

## Controlled tank system (2)

Equivalent specification using modes, as in automata

```
model ControlledTank()=
| [ var n: nat = 0, cont V: real = 10
            , alg Qi,Qo: real
:: inv dot V = Qi - Qo
    , Qi = n * 5
    , Qo = sqrt(V)
|| |[ mode noinflow =
            V <= 2 -> n:= 1; inflow
        , mode inflow =
            V >= 10 -> n:= 0; noinflow
    :: noinflow
    ]|
]I
```


## Simulation tools for Chi

- Stand-alone symbolic simulator for hybrid and timed Chi (Python)
- S-function block hybrid Chi simulator for co-simulation in Matlab/Simulink
- Stand-alone simulator for timed Chi (C)
- See se.wtb.tue.nl/sewiki/

Note: slight changes of syntax in this presentation with syntax in current tools.

## Simulation phenomena: the bouncing ball

```
model BounceBall() =
| [ cont h: real = 20.0
            , v: real = 0.0
:: inv dot h = v
            , dot v = -10
|| | [ mode fall = ( h = 0 -> v:= -0.5 * v; rise )
            , mode rise = ( v = 0 -> skip; fall )
    :: fall
    ] |
]।
```


## Accumulation point and zeno behavior



- Simulation will not proceed beyond time point 6 , which is an accumulation point
- In theory there will be an infinite number of events before time point 6 is reached (zeno behavior)
- Unless special measures are taken, numerical simulation of zeno behavior may lead to erroneous results


## Proper bouncing ball model

- The symbolic Chi simulator can proceed until the numerical machine accuracy is reached
- A proper model terminates when bouncing is no longer realistic (height becomes too low)

```
model BounceBall() =
| [ cont h: real \(=20.0\)
        , v: real \(=0.0\)
: : | [ mode fall \(=\) ( inv \(\operatorname{dot} h=v, \operatorname{dot} v=-10\)
                                \(\mathrm{h}=0->\mathrm{v}:=-0.5 * \mathrm{v}\); rise
    )
        , mode rise \(=(i n v\) dot \(h=v, \operatorname{dot} v=-10\)
        | v = 0 -> skip
                            ; ( h >= 0.01 -> skip; fall
                                | h < 0.01 -> skip // terminate
    )
```

    : : fall
    ]
    ]I

## State event detection (1)

- Numerical solvers solve differential algebraic equations at discrete time points
- Interval between discrete time points is the step size
- Step size can be fixed or variable

State event detection by means of zero crossing detection / root finding:

- Convert the state condition to a root function that calculates the value of the variable minus the threshold
- When the root function crosses zero, the state event has been located
E.g. V <= 2 -> $\mathrm{n}:=1$ leads to root function returning V - 2


## State event detection (2)

Efficient state event detection / zero crossing detection / root finding:


- Solve the system of equations until beyond the threshold crossing, keeping the dynamics unchanged
- Iteratively approach the exact point of threshold crossing (root function returns zero)
- When the exact time point of the zero crossing ( $\mathrm{h}=0$ ) has been located, change the dynamics (e.g. at time point 2, execute the assignment v:=-0.5* v)


## Zero crossing detection problems (1)



First empty the tank, then fill it:

```
model ControlledTank()=
| [ var n: nat = 0, cont V: real = 10, alg Qi,Qo: real
:: inv dot V = Qi - Qo
    , \(\quad \mathrm{Qi}=\mathrm{n} * 5\)
    , \(\quad\) Qo \(=\operatorname{sqrt}(V)\)
|| V <= 0 -> \(\mathrm{n}:=1 ; \mathrm{V}>=10\)-> \(\mathrm{n}:=0\)
]I
```

State event detection for $\mathrm{V}<=0$ leads to taking the root of a negative number because of equation Qo $=\operatorname{sqrt}(\mathrm{V})$ !

## Zero crossing detection problems (2)

Solution, conditional expression / discontinuous right hand side:

```
model ControlledTank()=
|[ var n: nat = 0, cont V: real = 10, alg Qi,Qo: real
:: inv dot V = Qi - Qo
    Qi = n * 5
    Qo = ( V >= 0 -> sqrt(V) | V < O -> 0 )
|| V <= 0 -> n:= 1; V >= 10 -> n:= 0
]|
```

Alternative syntax in other languages:
Qo $=$ if $V>=0$ then $\operatorname{sqrt}(V)$ else 0

## Simulation without zero crossing detection

If zero crossing detection in solver can be switched off (e.g. Matlab/Simulink, Modelica), or if zero crossing detection is not implemented:

- Variable step size numerical solver will decrease step size when approaching the discontinuity
$\Longrightarrow$ large number of smaller and smaller steps when
approaching the discontinuity
- Fixed step size solver will overstep the discontinuity
$\Longrightarrow$ big numerical error near discontinuity


## Time events

Time events are easy for hybrid simulators:

- explicitly specified (absolute timing)
- or calculated by addition of time and intervals (relative timing)

```
model ControlledTank()=
| [ var n: nat = 0
    , cont V: real = 10, alg Qi,Qo: real
:: inv dot V = Qi - Qo
    , \(\quad \mathrm{Qi}=\mathrm{n} * 5\)
        , \(\quad\) Qo \(=\operatorname{sqrt}(\mathrm{V})\)
|| time >= 2 -> \(\mathrm{n}:=1\); delay \(5 ; \mathrm{n}:=0\)
〕I
```

- Absolute timing: at time point 2, the valve is opened time >=2 -> n:= 1
- Relative timing: 5 units of time after that, the valve is closed delay 5; n:= 0


## Instantaneous equations (1)

Discontinuities using actions (computer science approach):

- assignments
- instantaneous equations / action predicates / jump predicates

Instantaneous equations $W$ : $r$

- more general than assignments
- predicate $r$ relates values of variables before and after action
- W: set of variables that may change (often not explicitly specified, but derived from $r$ )

Examples instantaneous equations

- $\{x\}: x=1$ means $x:=1$
- $\{x\}: x=x^{-}+1$ means $x:=x+1$


## Instantaneous equations (2)

Values of $x$ before and after an action in different languages:

- $x^{-}$and $x$, or $x^{-}$and $x^{+}$
- old $x$ and $x$, or pre( $x$ ) and post ( $x$ )

Example colliding bodies:

```
model collision(m0,m1,c: real) =
| [ cont x0: real := 0.0, x1: real := 1.0
    , v0: real := 0.0, v1: real := 0.0
:: inv dot x0 = v0, dot v0 = 1
    , dot x1 = v1, dot v1 = 0
|| x0 >= x1 ->
    {v0,v1}: v0 - v1 = -c * (old v1 - old v0),
    m0 * v0 + m1 * v1 = m0 * old v0 + m1 * old v1
```

]

Newton's collision rule and conservation of momentum at collision

