Progress on the Power Transmission Testcases

Summarized by Mats Larsson







Outline

Background

- Recent blackouts in power systems
- Voltage dynamics
- Emergency voltage control
- The ABB Testcases
- Contributions by different Partners in EU CC
- A suggested Implementation Platform
- Conclusion



Recent Blackouts in Power Systems



Swedish Blackout Aug. 2003



Failed Disconnector





Failed Disconnector



ABB

Source of instability - I - Tap Changer Control





- Used to control customer voltage
- Relay control
- Time delay + Deadband
- Uses a local viewpoint
- Bad for System Stability !



Source of instability - II - Generator Overload Limits

- Generators normally under terminal voltage control
- If the generator is overloaded, voltage control is lost





Typical Instability Scenario

- 1. Line or generator outage reduces the voltage in an area
- 2. Temporary load reduction
- 3. Transfer capacity to the area is reduced
- 4. Load demand recovers (distribution voltage control, inherent dynamics)
- 5. Voltage is further reduced
- 6. Generator overload protection activated
- 7. Collapse !

Time scale: seconds to several minutes



Emergency Voltage Control



Objectives

- Stabilize unstable voltage dynamics
- Switched controls
 - tap changers
 - capacitors
 - Load shedding







Original "Small scale" benchmark



- Disturbance Input
 - Line trip
- Discrete Step Controls
 - Tap changer reference voltage
 - Load Shedding
 - Capacitor Switching
- Hybrid Behaviour
 - Generator overload protection
 - Transformer Relay Control
 - Discrete controls
- Nonlinearity
 - "sign change" in tap changer control



Collapse Scenario

- Line tripping (L3) after 100 s
 - Inherent Load Recovery
 - Tap Changer Tries to Restore Voltage
 - Generator field limit activated at 286 s
 - Collapse





Control Objectives



- Stabilize all voltages within
 0.9 1.1 p.u.
- Use minimal amount of load shedding
- Control voltage at bus 4 as close as possible to 1 p.u.
- Capacitor and tap changer control can be used freely



"Mini" Testcase



- A simplified version of the small scale benchmarks
- Allows analytical modelling crucial for understanding
- Still captures the essential hybrid behaviour



Overview - "Medium Scale" ABB Test Case



- Three copies of small case
- Similar control objectives
- Recovery dynamics in :
 - load (continuous)
 - Transformers (discrete) (optional)

Inputs:

•

•

- Line impedances (fault)
- 3 Capacitors
 - 3 Voltage Refs. Transformer (optional)
- 3 Load shedding

Outputs:

- 3 Load voltages
- 2 Generator field voltages



Contributions Through EU Control & Computation

- ETH Zürich
 - Predictive control based on Mixed-logical Dynamical (MLD) models
- Lund University
 - Feedback/Feedforward control laws for indvidual tap changers
- Grenoble / LAG
 - Nonlinear predictive controller with reduced order open-loop parameterization
 - Combined use of global control approach and local feedback strategies
- Grenoble / VERIMAG
 - Nonlinear predictive controller with search algorithm over branching tree
- ABB
 - Online equivalencing of complex networks
 - Predictive control



ETH Zürich - Modelling (1/2)



Small scale benchmark model:

- 4-bus network non-linearities accurately modelled with PWA model
- Full description of all logics involved (e.g. tap changer Finite State Machine)
- MLD framework captures PWA approximations and logics

Medium scale benchmark model:

- Three area network equations linearized
- Considers four different linearizations according to state of generators
- Retains full description of logics
- System with PWA dynamics converted to MLD form



ETH Zürich - Model Predictive Control (2/2)

MPC approach:

- MPC explicitly takes into account constraints
- Tuning of cost function is straightforward
- Resulting MILP problem efficiently solved
- MPC effectively stabilizes voltages





Transformer switching via impedance estimates



Using a simplified network model, feedback and feedforward loops from impedance estimates to switches in the transformer ratio have been analytically designed by the Lund node in close contact with ABB. A preliminary patent has been granted.



Grenoble / LAG: the small scale benchmark - (1/3)



Open loop parameterization used in the Receding Horizon based controller



Grenoble / LAG : the small scale benchmark - (2/3)



Average computation and performance achieved as a function of The prediction horizon



Grenoble / LAG : the medium scale benchmark - (3/3)







Case of a triple line outage at t=100 sec Delay fault/action of 50 sec



Grenoble / VERIMAG





🍠 Start 📴 🧾 🕑 🖋 🚱

© ABB Switzerland Ltd - Dr. M. Larsson - Page 23

🛅 3 Window... 👻 🮒 3 Internet... 👻 🚳 Microsoft Po... Mobe Rea...

» 🙆 > Re: ABB B...

ABB - Network Equivalencing



- On-line computation of reduced simplified equivalents
- In many cases, resulting models can be as simple as the EU CC benchmark problems
- Can vastly reduce computational requirements



Implementation Platform I - New Measurement Technology





- Phasor Measurement Units (PMU)
- Synchronization by GPS clock
- Timestamp accuracy < 1 microsecond
- Angle accuracy < 0.1 degree</p>

 Allows monitoring of voltage dynamics



Implementation platform II - Wide-area Monitoring and Control



Conclusion

- Substantial and industrially relevant contributions have been made through the EU CC project
 - Predictive Control
 - Analytical Methods
- Computational complexity is a major issue
 - Efficient solution techniques
 - Reduced network models
- Not only Control & Computation Analysis and Engineering is also required
- Technology is available now
 - ABB has already offered a voltage stability control system based on predictive control to a customer (no order yet)



#